

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/762,295

Applicant(s)

SAWADA, KENICHI

Examiner

Sherali Ishrat

Art Unit

2624

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Deborah S. Gladstien.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 December 2006

Time: 1:00PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

1-34

Prior art documents discussed:

na

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that claims 21-25 are allowable over prior art of record. The pre-amendment filed on 1/23/2004 to cancel claims 1-20 and 26-34 is non-compliant because it does not have listing of all the claims. Furthermore priority data needs to be updated. Applicant's Attorney gave Examiner permission to make necessary changes by an examiner's amendment.